Thermodynamics of trajectories of a harmonic oscillator...

André Xuereb (University of Malta & Queen's University Belfast)

Simon Pigeon, Lorenzo Fusco, Gabriele De Chiara & Mauro Paternostro (Queen's University Belfast)

Reference: S. Pigeon, et al., arXiv:1411.2637 (2014)

23/04/2015

Thanks to



• Thanks also to Igor Lesanovsky and Juan P. Garrahan from the University of Nottingham

One more thing



One more thing



One more thing



Meetings in Malta!

- Mid-November 2015: ESR Workshop hosted by MP1403
- February 21 to 24 (tbc): 6th Working Group Meeting of MP1209



http://qutmalta.sciencesconf.org/

Thermodynamics of trajectories

- Consider a thermodynamic quantity with a control parameter λ
- We can associate a corresponding free energy function $\theta(\lambda)$
- Non-analyticities in $\theta(\lambda)$ correspond to phase transition points
- In dynamical systems, one can analyse order parameters in a similar manner

Counting processes

- We define a counting process K, e.g., the net number of excitations emitted
- Given the density matrix ho, project onto each K-subspace $ho_K(t)\coloneqq \Pi_K
 ho(t)\Pi_K$
- From this, construct the "s-biased ensemble"

$$\rho_s(t) \coloneqq \sum_K e^{-sK} \rho_K(t)$$

Counting processes

- The partition function associated with ρ_s takes a large-deviation form $Z(s,t) \coloneqq \operatorname{Tr}\{\rho_s(t)\} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} e^{t\theta(s)}$
- $\rho_s(t)$ obeys a modified (trace non-preserving) master equation

Counting processes

- $\theta(s)$ is the free-energy corresponding to K
- It gives access to the statistics of K, e.g.,

 $\langle K \rangle / t = -\partial_s \theta(s) \Big|_{s=0}$

• Non-analyticities in $\theta(s)$ correspond to phase transitions in the dynamics



- We consider three spins-1/2 arranged in a triangular configuration
- Two spins are pinned, whereas the third is allowed to move
- The basic model:

$$\widehat{H}_{\rm m} = \alpha \sum_{i} \sigma_x^{(i)} + \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sigma_x^{(i)} \sigma_x^{(j)} - B \sum_{i} \sigma_z^{(i)}$$

• The motion of spin 1 modulates the second term, yielding

$$\widehat{H}_{\text{s-m}} = g \ \widehat{x} \ \sigma_x^{(1)} \left(\sigma_x^{(2)} - \sigma_x^{(3)} \right)$$

• We open the system by damping \hat{x}

- Following usual quantum-optics methods, we eliminate the motion
- This yields an effective damping of the spin system through the operator $\sigma_-^{(1)} \big(\sigma_-^{(2)} \sigma_-^{(3)} \big)$
- This collective dissipation partitions the Hilbert space into two:
 - An "active" phase which emits excitations to the bath
 - An "inactive" phase that emits no excitations
- Dissipation of the individual spins also plays a role, as we shall see







Evaluating $\theta(s)$

• Consider a master equation in Lindblad form $\dot{\rho} = -i[\widehat{H}, \rho] + \mathcal{L}[\rho]$

where

$$\mathcal{L}[\rho] = \gamma(\overline{n}+1)\left(\hat{a}\rho\hat{a}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\{\rho, \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}\}\right) + \gamma\overline{n}\left(\hat{a}^{\dagger}\rho\hat{a} - \frac{1}{2}\{\rho, \hat{a}\hat{a}^{\dagger}\}\right)$$

- This represents a system that exchanges energy with a bath:
 - To the bath with a rate $\gamma(\bar{n}+1)$
 - From the bath with a rate $\gamma \bar{n}$

Evaluating $\theta(s)$

- Let us now count quanta entering or leaving the system along this channel
- We can obtain ρ_s directly by using the modified master equation $\dot{\rho}_s = -i[\hat{H}, \rho_s] + \mathcal{L}[\rho_s] + \mathcal{L}_s[\rho_s] = \mathcal{W}_s[\rho_s]$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{s}[\rho_{s}] = \gamma(\bar{n}+1)(e^{-s}-1)\hat{a}\rho\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \gamma\bar{n}(e^{s}-1)\hat{a}^{\dagger}\rho\hat{a}$$

- The Liouvillian for any other baths is not modified
- $\theta(s)$ is simply the eigenvalue of $\mathcal{W}_s[\cdot]$ with largest real part

Evaluating $\theta(s)$

- For a simple three-spin system, we need to diagonalise a 64×64 matrix
- What about continuous-variable systems?

- Gaussian states comprise a wide variety of states
- Defined by having a Gaussian Wigner quasiprobability
- Gaussianity is conserved under the action of a quadratic Hamiltonian

• Wigner quasiprobability distribution is obtained via a "Fourier transform" of the density operator

$$W(x,p,t) = \frac{1}{\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2ipy/\hbar} \langle x - y | \rho(t) | x + y \rangle \, \mathrm{d}y$$

• Gaussian states have a Gaussian Wigner function $W(x, p, t) = A(t) \exp[-\mathbf{r} \cdot \sigma(t)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{r}]$

• $r = (x, p)^{T}$ and $\sigma(t)$ is the covariance matrix

• Usually, the normalising factor A(t) is such that

$$\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x, p, t) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}p = \mathrm{Tr}\{\rho\} = 1$$

- Our modified master equation is not trace-preserving ${
 m Tr}\{
 ho_s\}
 eq 1$
- Indeed (as $t \to \infty$),

$$\theta(s) = \frac{1}{t} \ln Z(s,t) = \frac{1}{t} \ln \operatorname{Tr}\{\rho_s(t)\} = \frac{1}{t} \ln A(t)$$

- It turns out that all the information about $\theta(s)$ is contained within A(t)
- Consider a thermal state, where $\sigma(t) = \begin{pmatrix} v(t) & 0 \\ 0 & v(t) \end{pmatrix}$:

$$\theta(s) = 2 \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int^t [f_+(s)v(\tau) - f_-(s)] \,\mathrm{d}\tau$$

- The functions $f_{\pm}(s)$ are simple functions of the system parameters
- In many cases, v(t) reaches a steady state and the above equation can be evaluated in a straightforward manner

- We consider a generic system of a harmonic oscillator coupled to N baths
- Define a counting process that counts the excitations entering or leaving the system through one particular bath
- For this system, $\theta(s)$ can be found analytically



- The dynamics is invariant under the replacement $s \rightarrow s_0 s$, where s_0 depends on the bath temperature
- Thos property is known as a Gallavotti–Cohen symmetry [1]
- Using this, one can show that the system obeys a fluctuation relation

$$\frac{p_K}{p_{-K}} = e^{s_0 K}$$

• Here $p_K = \lim_{t \to \infty} \text{Tr}\{\rho_K\}$ is the infinite-time probability of counting K events

[1] J. L. Lebowitz, H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. 95, 333 (1999).

Second Quantum Thermodynamics Conference, Mallorca

- The end points are related to the tails of the probability distribution, which are exponential
- Visually similar results were obtained for *classical* harmonic oscillators [2]

[2] H. C. Fogedby, and A. Imparato, J. Stat. Mech. 2011, P05015 (2011).



Figure 2. Large deviation function $\mu(\lambda)$ as a function of λ , as given by equation (4.1), for $\Gamma_1 = 1$, $\Gamma_2 = 2$, $T_1 = 1$, $T_2 = 2$. The shape is that of a half circle lying between the branch points λ_{\pm} , as given by (4.2).

[2] H. C. Fogedby, and A. Imparato, J. Stat. Mech. 2011, P05015 (2011).

- Comparison between the two shows that:
 - For high temperatures, the two results agree perfectly
 - For low temperatures, the quantum version is **less active**; there is a suppression of the mean net exchange of excitations to/from the bath



Gaussian systems: Outlook

- Not shown here: Driving the system can be incorporated
- We are looking at applying this method to handle any system of *M* oscillators coupled to *N* baths
- Analytical results are hard, but numerics are easy (solving an algebraic Riccati equation)
- Systems of interest include the linear to zig-zag transition in chains of trapped ions

Gaussian systems: Outlook





[3] Cover image from Ann. Phys. **10–11** (2013). [4] A. del Campo, T. W. B. Kibble, and W. H. Zurek, J. Phys. **25**, 404210 (2013).

Second Quantum Thermodynamics Conference, Mallorca

23/04/2015

Conclusions

- Thermodynamics of trajectories can reveal information about the dynamics of an open quantum system
- For continuous variable systems, the problem is a difficult one
- Restricting ourselves to Gaussian systems, $\theta(s)$ may be found analytically
- In the high-temperature limit, our results perfectly match classical ones
- For very low temperatures, we find that quantum systems are quieter
- We are working towards analysing networks of oscillators from this point of view

Thank you!

Thank you for your attention.

References:

- Oscillator and N baths: S. Pigeon, et al., arXiv:1411.2637 (2014)
- Three-spin system: S. Pigeon, et al., New J. Phys. 17, 015010 (2015)

Background:

• J. P. Garrahan, and I. Lesanovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 160601 (2010)