

QUANTIM

Quantum Imaging IST-2000-26019



Palma de Mallorca, Spain http://www.imedea.uib.es/PhysDept

Numerical approach to Superresolution

P. Scotto, P. Colet, M. San Miguel IMEDEA, Palma de Mallorca

M. Kolobov PhLAM, Lille (France)



Project funded by the Future and Emerging Technologies arm of the IST Programme FET-Open scheme



Background



Rayleigh, 1879 : Diffraction limits the resolution of optical devices

Mathematicians showed that diffraction is not a fundamental limit.

Bertero, Pike, 1982: Reconstruction is possible, but strongly limited by the signal to noise ratio in the measured image

M.Bertero & E.R. Pike, Opt. Acta.29, 727 (1982)

Kolobov, Fabre, 2000: The noise of quantum origin can be reduced by using nonclassical light and hence the reconstruction procedure improved.

M.Kolobov & C. Fabre, Phys. Rev.Lett.85, 3789 (2000)

QUANTIM, 2002: Practical implementation?





Beyond Rayleigh...

Possibility of object reconstruction.

Knowledge of output field distribution => determination of object

Condition: <u>object confined in a finite region of space</u>

Method: expansions in terms of "eigenfunctions" of the input-output transformation for the optical system

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sin[c(x-x')]}{\pi(x-x')} \psi_k(x') dx = \lambda_k \psi_k(x)$$

Solution: the prolate spheroidal functions



Double orthogonality

on
$$[-\infty, +\infty]$$
 $\{\psi_k(s)\}_{k=0,1,...}$

Basis / bandlimited functions with bandwidth 2c

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi_k(x)\Psi_l(x)dx = \delta_{kl}\right)$$
$$\left(\int_{-1}^{+1} \Psi_k(x)\Psi_l(x)dx = \lambda_k \delta_{kl}\right)$$

on [-1,+1]
$$\left\{ \varphi_{k}(s) = \sqrt{\lambda_{k}}^{-1/2} \psi_{k}(s) \right\}_{k=0,1,\dots}$$

Basis in L²(-1,1)

are their own "finite" Fourier transform:

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} ds \,\varphi_k(s) e^{i\,\omega s} = i^k \left(\frac{2\pi\lambda_k}{c}\right)^{1/2} \varphi_k(\frac{\omega}{c})$$





The prolate functions retain their identity / imaging transformation. Diffraction => Attenuation



Reconstruction scheme.





Vacuum fluct.from "dark" parts

Feasibility of the reconstruction procedure strongly limited by the noise in the image.



a(s)

Reconstruction scheme.

Efficiency



Taking <u>a few modes</u> in the expansion: $a_{rec}(s) = a_0 \varphi_0(s) + a_2 \varphi_2(s) + a_4 \varphi_4(s)$

S=0.6 (small Shannon number)



Object (2 slit object)

Reconstructed Object



∝ Resolution length achieved with truncated reconstruction procedure

Image: dominated by diffraction



Few modes are sufficient to obtain significant superresolution!

=> Gain in resolution by a factor 10 !



- Difficulty to determine the prolate functions of high order.
- At large k, any small error in e_k (noise in the measurement) will be tremendously amplified by the reconstruction procedure.

 $a_k = \frac{e_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$

This limits the number of functions in the expansion that can be used in practise.

Difficulties (part II)





IMEDEA

Determination of the expansion coefficients

 $a(s) = \sum_{k} a_{k} \varphi_{k}(s)$ $a_{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds' e(s') \Psi_{k}(s') \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}} \int_{-x_{max}}^{+x_{max}} ds' e(s') \Psi_{k}(s')$ acquisition over a finite $ds' = \int_{+\infty}^{+\infty} ds' e(s') \Psi_{k}(s') \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}} \int_{-x_{max}}^{+x_{max}} ds' e(s') \Psi_{k}(s')$ $\Delta a_k \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \int_{x_{max}}^{+\infty} ds' e(s') \Psi_k(s') \propto \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \frac{1}{x_{max}}$ Error on the determination of a_k : Accurate determination of a_k only if $x_{max} >> - \sqrt{2}$ Intensity distribution in the image plane

0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 -10 -5 0 510

Previous example S=0.6

$$\lambda_4 \approx 3.7.10^{-8}$$

$$1/\sqrt{\lambda_4} \approx 5000 \quad a_4$$

exact
$$x_{max} = 10$$
 $x_{max} = 10^3$ $x_{max} = 10^5$
 x_{4} -0.43 -179 -2.43 -0.454

Difficulties (part II)

IMEDEA



What about large Shannon numbers?



Superresolution? Yes, but only if one is able to collect the field over a HUGE portion of the image plane (!).



Alternative 1: Avoid pixellisation of image

plane Superresolution with optical masks

Principle: $a_{rec}(s_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{max}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \varphi_k(s_0) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds' \psi_k(s') e(s') = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds' e(s') \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{k_{max}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \varphi_k(s_0) \psi_k(s') \right\}$ reconstruction formula

M(s')

$$\lim_{k_{\max}\to\infty}a_{rec}(s_0)=a(s_0)$$



Multiplication and spatial integration can be done all-optically!

M. Bertero et all., Inverse Prob. 8, 1-23 (1992)

Retrieval of the object at a given point

=> Scanning to retrieve the whole object

ADVANTAGES: all optical processing, a single detector PROBLEM: Necessity of masks with unrealistic dimensions!!!



Alternative 2 Use of an intrapupil mask

Real time image extrapolation

 $\frac{\omega}{c}$



B.R. Frieden, Opt. Acta 16, 795 (1969)

Principle: One can find a $P(\omega)$ such that the image exactly coincides with the object.

Example:
$$P(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{c}} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text{max}}} \frac{(-1)^{k/2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \varphi_k(0) \varphi_k(0)$$

Then $e'(s) = a(s)$
for objects confined to $[-X/2, +X/2]$



Optical mask



ADVANTAGES: equivalent to an extension of the pupil outwards in space **PROBLEM:** Tremendous signal attenuation!!!



CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK



1)Well defined mathematical framework for the retrieval of diffraction distorted objects

2)Practical implementation:

UIB

* real space (image detection + processing) FAILS* all-optically (using masks) FAILS

But...

