The macroscopic description of agent-based models

IFISC

Federico Vázquez, Cristóbal López and Maxi San Miguel IFISC, Instituto de Fisica Interdisciplinar y Sistemas Complejos Mallorca, Spain.



http://ifisc.uib.es - Mallorca - Spain



Introduction

Most real-life systems are complex:

many units interacting in a non-linear way give rise to not obvious (unexpected) collective behavior.

- Ant colonies
- Human economies
- Social structures
- Nervous systems
- Cells, etc..



How do we study complex systems?

- An Agent-Based Model (ABM) simulates operations of multiple agents to recreate behavior of complex phenomena.
- ABMs describe systems at a *microscopic level*.
- Advantage: ABMs can be used as computer experiments to explore the behavior of a system under a given input

Some terminology:

- Agent-based models (Sociology, Computer Science, Game Theory)
- Individual-based models (Ecology, Biology).
- Interacting particle systems (Physics).



Examples of interacting particle systems

Ecology:

- Species competition.
- Invasion processes.
- Predator-prey systems (Lotka Volterra).

Biology:

- Epidemic spreading (ISI, IRSI).
- Allele frequency (genetics).
- Bacteria dynamics.
- Neural networks.
- Tumor growth.



Social Science:

Opinion spreading.

Cultural propagation.

Language dynamics.

Surface Physics/ Chemistry:

- Catalytic reactions.
- Deposition/ reaction-diffusion/ aggregation.



Mathematical description of ABMs

- Analytical treatment of systems provides insight of phenomena.
- But.., systems are composed by a huge number of agents! (many degrees of freedom).
- It is hard and unpractical to develop an analytical framework
 - (equations) to describe the evolution of each single agent.
- Need to reduce number of degrees of freedom.

how?



- Define a few collective variables that describe the system as a whole.
- Still, a lot of information is obtained from this simplified viewpoint.
- Prediction of macroscopic behavior from a given microscopic

dynamics (ABM) becomes relevant.

Statistical Physics provides a suitable framework that relates

micro with macro in systems with many particles/agents.



- Equilibrium statistical physics: thermodynamic relations between macroscopic/measurable variables (P,V,T) are derived from Hamiltonian-Equipartition functions.
- But.., most real-life systems are out of equilibrium.
- Analytical techniques to treat non-equilibrium problems that involve time dependence:

Master equations, Fokker-Planck equations,

Langevin equations, etc.



Field approaches to obtain macro evolution equations

The appropriate type of approach depends on the topology of interactions between agents.

MEAN-FIELD:

- Rate equation for the time evolution of a global quantity.
 Ex: density of particles, spin magnetization, population of species.
- Gives very good estimates on well mixed populations where every agent interacts with any other agent (complete graph or fully connected network).
- Simplest approach, but neglects spatial dependence, correlations and fluctuations.



PAIR APPROXIMATION:

- Rate equation for the evolution of the global density of different types of pairs (neighboring sites).
- Account for nearest neighbor correlations, but neglects fluctuations.
- Used to obtain approximate solutions in square lattices.
- Gives some idea of spatial effects.
- Specially useful in complex networks, with very accurate results.

More refined methods for heterogeneous networks:

- *Node approximation*: group nodes in different degree classes.
- *Heterogeneous pair approximation*: group links in different classes.



LANGEVIN EQUATION:

- Stochastic partial differential equation for the evolution of a continuous field $\Phi(x,t)$.
- Accounts for stochastic fluctuations associated to discreteness effects (agents).
- It contains the mean-field term, a Laplacian term that accounts for spatial dependence and a noise term related to fluctuations.
- Appropriate for spatially extended systems.
- Useful to study stability and and pattern formation.
- Essential in systems driven by noise (patterns, absorbing states).

<u>A simple application: The Voter Model [Clifford 1973, Liggett 1975]</u>

- Two possible positions (opinions) {-1=left,1=right} on a political issue.
- Individuals ("voters") blindly adopt the position of a random neighbor.

Initial state: density σ of – voters and 1- σ of + voters.

<u>Dynamics:</u>

 Pick a voter *i* with opinion x_i at random.
 Pick a neighbor *j* with opinion x_j at random. *i* adopts *j*'s opinion (x_i → x_i=x_j).
 Repeat ad infinitum.

Final state: -1 consensus with prob. σ +1 consensus with prob. 1- σ





Complete Graph

- σ = global density of voters with opinion -1 (spin -1)
- σ_{+} = global density of voters with opinion 1 (spin 1)
 - $m = \sigma_+ \sigma_- \equiv$ Global Magnetization
 - $1 = \sigma_+ + \sigma_-$ (Total density of voters is conserved)

 $\rho = \frac{\# \text{ links between -1 and +1 spins}}{\text{total # of links}} \equiv \text{Density of active links}$

Rate equation for m:

$$\frac{dm(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{1/N} \left[\sigma_- P(- \to +) \frac{2}{N} - \sigma_+ P(+ \to -) \frac{2}{N} \right]$$



Biased Voter Model:

$$P(+ \to -) = \frac{1}{2}(1-v)\sigma_{-}, \quad P(- \to +) = \frac{1}{2}(1+v)\sigma_{+}$$

V = bias (preference for one of the opinions)V > 0 (favor for + opinion), V < 0 (favor for - opinion)

$$\sigma_{+} = \frac{1+m}{2}, \quad \sigma_{-} = \frac{1-m}{2}$$

Mean-field equation for the magnetization:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{v}{2}(1-m^2)$$



The macro description of agent-based models

If
$$m(t=0) = 0 \rightarrow m(t) = \tanh(vt/2)$$

and $\rho(t) = 2\sigma_+\sigma_- = \frac{1-m^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \tanh^2(vt/2)\right]$





Symmetric case (v=0):

$$m(t)=0={\rm const}, \quad \rho(t)=1/2={\rm const}$$

But....we know the system ultimately reaches consensus!

or
$$m(t=\infty)=\pm 1$$
, $\rho(t=\infty)=0$!!!

Therefore:

• Fluctuations must lead the system to the ordered state.

• Mean-field approach is not enough to describe the system.



The macro description of agent-based models

Langevin approach



Master equation for the magnetization

$$P(m, t+1/N) = W(m+2/N \to m) P(m+2/N, t) + W(m-2/N \to m) P(m-2/N, t) + W(m \to m) P(m, t)$$



Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial P(m,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left[\frac{v}{2} (1-m^2) P(m,t) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} \left[\frac{1}{N} (1-m^2) P(m,t) \right]$$

Langevin equation for the magnetization:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{v}{2}(1-m^2) + \sqrt{\frac{(1-m^2)}{N}}\,\eta(t)$$

drift noise

<u>Gaussian white noise:</u> $\langle \eta \rangle$

$$\eta(t)\eta(t')\rangle = \delta(t-t')$$



Solution to the Fokker-Plank equation:

$$P(m,t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l C_l^{3/2}(m) e^{-(l+1)(l+2)t/N}$$

Average density of active links decays to zero:

$$\langle \rho(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle 1 - m^2(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dm \ (1 - m^2) \ P(m, t)$$

$$\left<\rho(t)\right> = \frac{1}{2}\,e^{-2\,t/N} \quad \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

We recover the right behavior!



Complex networks

• Each node connected to μ neighbors chosen at random.



http://ifisc.uib.es



Equations for m and p:

$$\frac{dm(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k} \frac{\sigma_{-}P_{k}}{1/N} \sum_{n_{+}=0}^{k} B(n_{+},k) \frac{(1+v)}{2} \frac{n_{+}}{k} \frac{2}{N}$$
$$- \sum_{k} \frac{\sigma_{+}P_{k}}{1/N} \sum_{n_{-}=0}^{k} B(n_{-},k) \frac{(1-v)}{2} \frac{n_{-}}{k} \frac{2}{N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} &= \sum_{k} \frac{\sigma_{-}P_{k}}{1/N} \sum_{n_{+}=0}^{k} B(n_{+},k) \frac{(1+v)}{2} \frac{n_{+}}{k} \frac{2(k-2n_{+})}{\mu N} \\ &+ \sum_{k} \frac{\sigma_{+}P_{k}}{1/N} \sum_{n_{-}=0}^{k} B(n_{-},k) \frac{(1-v)}{2} \frac{n_{-}}{k} \frac{2(k-2n_{-})}{\mu N} \end{aligned}$$

Pair approximation: neglect 2nd nearest-neighbor correlations.

Coupled equations for *m* and ρ :

$$\frac{dm(t)}{dt} = v\rho$$

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = \frac{\rho}{\mu} \left\{ (\mu - 2) - \frac{2(\mu - 1)(1 + vm)\rho}{(1 - m^2)} \right\}$$

Stationary solution:
$$\rho(t) = \frac{\xi \left[1 - m(t)^2\right]}{\left[1 + v m(t)\right]} \quad \xi \equiv (\mu - 2)/2(\mu - 1)$$

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{v\xi(1-m^2)}{(1+v\,m)} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad m(t) = \tanh(v\xi t)$$
For v << 1

v =0 $\longrightarrow \rho(t) = \xi \left[1 - m(t)^2\right]$ Like in complete graph!!

Symmetric case v=0:

Fokker-Planck equation:
$$\frac{\partial P(m,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} \left[\frac{1}{\tau} (1-m^2) P(m,t) \right]$$

Langevin equation:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{(1-m^2)}{\tau}} \,\eta(t)$$

Time decay constant depends on 1st and 2nd moments. *Topology affects relaxation to final state.*

$$\langle \rho(t') \rangle = \xi \langle 1 - m^2(t) \rangle = \xi \ e^{-2t/\tau} \qquad \tau \equiv \frac{(\mu - 1)\mu^2 N}{(\mu - 2)\,\mu_2}$$

Sparse networks (µ small) take longer to reach consensus state.



The macro description of agent-based models

Square lattices



 $\Phi_r =$ "opinion field" at site **r**. -1 $\leq \Phi_r \leq 1$

Continuous field over space/time

 σ_A = density of leftists in the near neighborhood.

 $Prob(+ \rightarrow -) = \frac{1}{2} (1-v) \frac{1}{4} in \square$, $\frac{1}{2} (1-v) \frac{5}{8} in \square$, $\frac{1}{2} (1-v) \frac{1}{2} in \square$



The macro description of agent-based models

How does the field evolve with time ?

$$P(\mp \to \pm) = \frac{1}{2}(1\pm v)\left(\frac{1\pm\psi_{\mathbf{r}}}{2}\right)$$

transition probability

Equation for the evolution of Φ_r :

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(t)}{\partial t} = \left[1 - \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(t)\right] P(- \to +) - \left[1 + \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(t)\right] P(+ \to -) + \eta_{\mathbf{r}}(t)$$

http://ifisc.uib.es



Langevin equation for the opinion field:





<u>Summary</u>

- The dynamics of agent based models can be described, at the macroscopic level, by a few collective (global) variables, like density of particles, magnetization, etc.
- Illustration: starting from the microscopic dynamics, we derived equations for the macroscopic evolution of a simple opinion model, in different topologies.
- The type of analytical approach depends on the type of topology.
- Same techniques can be applied to more complicated models (Language dynamics, savanna problem)... see next talks.

$$\frac{dm(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k} \frac{P_k}{2k} \left[(1+v)(1-m)\langle n_+ \rangle_k - (1-v)(1+m)\langle n_- \rangle_k \right]$$

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k} \frac{P_k}{2\,\mu\,k} \Big\{ (1+v)(1-m) \left[k\langle n_+ \rangle_k - 2\langle n_+^2 \rangle_k \right] + (1-v)(1+m) \left[k\langle n_- \rangle_k - 2\langle n_-^2 \rangle_k \right] \Big\}$$

1st and 2nd moments of Binomial distribution:

$$\langle n_s \rangle_k \equiv \sum_{n_s=0}^k B(n_s, k) n_s = P(s|-s)k \simeq \frac{\rho k}{2\sigma_{-s}}$$
$$\langle n_s^2 \rangle_k \equiv \sum_{n_s=0}^k B(n_s, k) n_s^2 \simeq \frac{\rho k}{2\sigma_{-s}} + \frac{\rho^2 k(k-1)}{4\sigma_{-s}^2}$$

Pair approximation: neglect 2nd nearest-neighbor correlations.

http://ifisc.uib.es

For the symmetric case v=0:

P

$$\rho(t) = \xi \left[1 - m(t)^2 \right]$$
 Like in complete graph!!

Uncorrelated networks are mean-field for voter model dynamics

Symmetric RW with steps of length $\delta_k = 2k/\mu N$:

$$W(m \to m - \delta_k) = \frac{\xi}{4} (1 - m^2) P_k$$

$$W(m \to m + \delta_k) = \frac{\xi}{4} (1 - m^2) P_k$$

$$W(m \to m) = [1 - \xi (1 - m^2)] P_k$$

$$(m, t + 1/N) = \sum_k P_k \left\{ W(m + \delta_k \to m) P(m + \delta_k, t) + W(m - \delta_k \to m) P(m - \delta_k, t) + W(m \to m) P(m, t) \right\}$$

http://ifisc.uib.es