Reliability of Lagrangian diagnosis from Finite Size Lyapunov Exponents (FSLE)

IFISC

Ismael Hernández-Carrasco Emilio Hernández-García Cristóbal López *IFISC (CSIC-UIB), Palma de Mallorca, Spain*

Antonio Turiel *CMIMA, ICM (CSIC) , Barcelona, Spain*



http://ifisc.uib-csic.es - Mallorca - Spain



Outline

- Introduction.
- Scale invariance properties of FSLE.
 - FSLE at different spatial scales.
 - FSLE at different spatial resolution of the velocity field.
- Robustness of FSLE.
 - Error in the velocity data.
 - Noise in the particle's trajectories.
- Conclusions.



Reliability of a Lagrangian diagnosis from FSLE

Introduction



Lyapunov Exponents



Aurell et al, 1997



Lyapunov Exponents

Standard Non-asymptotic Lyapunov Lyapunov **Exponents** Exponents $\sigma_{t_0}^T(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{|T|} \ln \sqrt{\frac{\max_{\delta \mathbf{x}(t_0)} \|\delta \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t_0} + \mathbf{T})\|}{\|\overline{\delta \mathbf{x}}(t_0)\|}}.$ **Finite-time Lyapunov exponents** Total predictability Local predictability $\lambda = \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{\delta \mathbf{x}(t_0) \to 0} \frac{1}{t} ln \frac{\delta \mathbf{x}(t)}{\delta \mathbf{x}(t_0)}$ $\circ \lim_{\delta \mathbf{x}(t_0)}$ (experimental data) Because its asymptotic character, Finite-size Lyapunov exponents it is limited for practical analyses $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t_0, \delta_0, \delta_f) = \frac{1}{|\tau|} \log \frac{\delta_f}{\delta_0}$

Aurell et al, 1997

http://ifisc.uib-csic.es



Finite Size Lyapunov Exponents (FSLEs)

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t_0, \delta_0, \delta_f) = \frac{1}{|\tau|} \log \frac{\delta_f}{\delta_0} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{\delta}_0$$



- δ_0 is the initial separation.
- δ_{t} is the final separation.
- τ is the time needed for two particles initially separated δ_{o} , to get separate δ_{f}
- **x** are the coordinates
- t_o initial time for the integration

Note: δ_0 *is the spatial resolution of the FSLE field*





Manifolds divide regions of qualitatively different dinamics



Lagrangian diagnosis from marine currents of Balearic Sea

<u>DieCAST</u> : primitive-equation, z-level, finite Velocity data from DieCAST model difference ocean model. hidrostatics aproximation adapted to Mediterranean Sea. incompresible aproximation rigid lid aproximation 30 cm/s 50 42.50 **Resolution:** 4 42 4 longitudinal resolution $\Delta \phi = \Delta_0 = 1/8^{\circ}$ 41.50 41.50 latitudinal resolution $\Delta \lambda = \Delta \phi \cos \lambda$ 4 $u(\phi, \lambda, t)$ $d\phi$ 40.50 40.50 $\overline{R}\cos\lambda$ dtEquations of motion 4 40 $v(\phi, \lambda, t)$ $d\lambda$ 39.50 39.50 dt39 33 Latitude coordinate
 50 λ longitude coordinate ģ R = earth radius2 з 5 6 u,v velocity components

(V. Fernández et al, 2005)

Note: Δ_0 is the spatial resolution of the velocity field



Lagrangian diagnosis from marine currents of Balearic Sea



http://ifisc.uib-csic.es



→ Revealing the dynamical structures in the flow which strongly organises fluid motion (eddies edges, filaments, fronts = transport barriers for passive tracers).

→ Give additional information of oceanographic interest: characteristics time scales and mixing intensity (localize area with max dispersion rates).

Revealing oceanic structures under the resolution of the velocity field: SUBMESOSCALE

 \rightarrow Natural framework to study the interaction: hydrodynamics/tracers.



→ Revealing the dynamical structures in the flow which strongly organises fluid motion (eddies edges, filaments, fronts = transport barriers for passive tracers).

→ Give additional information of oceanographic interest: characteristics time scales and mixing intensity (localize area with max dispersion rates).

→ Revealing oceanic structures under the resolution of the velocity field: SUBMESOSCALE ? Or introduce a artificiality in the results?

→ Natural framework to study the interaction: hydrodynamics/tracers.



What happen when the FSLE field is computed under the resolution of the velocity data?

Is some artificiality introduced?



Reliability of a Lagrangian diagnosis from FSLE

Scale invariance properties of FSLE



FSLE at different spatial scales (spatial resolutions, δ_0)



Increasing the spatial resolution we improve the identification of the mesoscale and submesoscale structures

Histograms of FSLEs at differents scales

IFISC



Fractal dimension of FSLEs at differents scales



The scale behaviour of these histograms indicates that the distribution of the FSLEs at a scale δ_0 is given by:

$$P(\delta_0, \Lambda) = P(\delta_0, \Lambda_c) \ \delta_0^{d-D(\Lambda)}$$
⁽¹⁾

- $P(\delta_{0},\ \Lambda_{c})$ is the maximun value of the probality distribution
- d in this case is the surface dimension = $\mathbf{2}$
- D (Λ) is the fractal dimension of the set of initial conditions leading to FSLE
- $\delta_{\ 0}$ is the definition scale

From Eq (1) one obtains a properly normalized expression to computed the fractal dimension at different scales

$$D(\Lambda) = d - \frac{\log \frac{P(\delta_0, \Lambda)}{P(\delta_0, \Lambda_c)}}{\log \delta_0}$$
⁽²⁾

The plot of D(Λ) shows a collapse of D (Λ) at the different scales.

FSLE display a multifractal character.



What happens if the spatial resolution of the velocity data is decreased?

Can we recover the structures?



FSLE at different spatial resolution of the velocity data



The main structures remain even when the velocity field resolution is decreased from 10km to 50 km



Reliability of a Lagrangian diagnosis from FSLE

Robustness of FSLE



Error in the data

We get a perturbated velocity data (u', v'), by introducing a small random number in the original velocity (u, v).

$$u'(x,t) = u(\mathbf{x},t)(1 + \alpha \eta_x(\mathbf{x},t))$$
$$v'(\mathbf{x},t) = v(\mathbf{x},t)(1 + \alpha \eta_y(\mathbf{x},t))$$



$$\{\eta_x(\mathbf{x},t),\eta_y(\mathbf{x},t)\}$$
 : noise

Sets of Gaussians random number with mean zero and variance one

 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is the relative size of perturbation

a)
$$\alpha = 0$$

b) $\alpha = 2$
c) $\alpha = 6$
d) $\alpha = 10$
 $\Delta_0 = 1/8^{\circ}$ $\delta_0 = 1/64^{\circ}$

The Lagrangian structures look rather the same despite $\alpha = 10$



data

- **Relative error:**
$$\epsilon(t_i) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{|\Lambda^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t_i) - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t_i)|^2}{|\Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t_i)|^2}}, \quad <\epsilon(t) > \equiv \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \epsilon(t_i)$$
 A FSLE with data unperturbated Λ^{α} FSLE with data perturbated pertur

s = 100 snapshots, and N is the total points in the FSLE field



FSLE are robust to relatively large amount of error in the velocity data. The average effect produced when computing FSLE by integrating over trajectories, make them robust againts several kinds of uncorrelated noise in the velocity data



Noise in the particle's trajectories

We include unresolved small scales in the computation of FSLE.



The mesoscale structures are maintained with eddy diffusivity (D)

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{u(\phi, \lambda, t)}{R\cos(\lambda)} + \frac{\sqrt{2D}}{R\cos(\lambda)}\xi_1(t),$$
$$\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \frac{v(\phi, \lambda, t)}{R} + \frac{\sqrt{2D}\xi_2(t)}{R}$$
$$< \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t') \ge \delta_{ij}\delta(t-t') \quad \text{Gaussian white noise}$$
$$\frac{\text{Diffusivity: D(I)= 2.055 \ 10^{-4} \ I^{1.15}}_{\text{Okubo,1971}}$$
$$I = \text{lenght scale} = \text{spatial resolution}$$
$$a) D = 0 \ m^2/s$$
$$b) D = 0.9m^2/s$$
$$c) D = 10m^2/s$$
$$d) D = 17m^2/s$$
$$\Delta_0 = 1/8^0 \quad \delta_0 = 1/64^0$$



Relative error



Relative error of the FSLE at different values of D in the particle' trajectories with respect to the D = 0 case. $\delta_0 = 1/8^{\circ}$



- **Dotted line**: Relative error of the FSLE at different spatial resolution (δ_0) and at one assigned eddy-difusion: D=2.055 10 $^{-4}\delta_0^{-1.55}$

- **Solid line**: at different spatial resolution, and at the same eddy-diffusion $D_0 = 10 \text{ m}_2/\text{s}$.

- **Dashed-dotted line** is the relative error of the shuffled FSLE at different spatial resolutions (δ_0)



Conclusions

- Increasing the spatial resolution of FSLEs we improve the identification of surface mesoscale structures.

- The main surface mesoscales structures in the ocean remain when the spatial resolution of the velocity data decreases.

- The spatial distribution of FSLE displays a multifractal character: different values of Λ occur at sets of points having distinct fractal dimensions D(Λ).

- The FSLE are rather robust. The relative error, even for a perturbation of 10 times the velocity data, is smaller than 20 %.

- Mesoscale structures are maintained when the eddy diffusion is included





Lagrangian diagnosis from marine currents off Balearic Sea

Time average (for the second simulation year) of the FSLEs in the Balearic Sea



Temporal evolution of the mixing measure $M_{_{+}}(t)$ = < $\Lambda_{_{+}}$ >



North and South regions are Geographical regions of different mixing activity



 $M_{_{\!\!\!+}}(t)$ during one year for the Balearic Sea and Mediterranean Sea, show that the Baelaric Sea is a area with low mixing activity for the spring and summer month

(D'Ovidio et al, 2004. (Mediterranean Sea))





Histograms of FSLE at different resolution of the velocity data

Fractal dimension of FSLE at different resolution of the velocity data

