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1. Introduction

This study focuses on Southeastern South America (SESA), a region that covers Uruguay and portions of northeastern Argentina and South Brazil (see Table 1 and Figure 1). SESA corresponds mostly to the southern part (south of 25S) of the La Plata Basin (LPB), the second largest basin in South America which comprehends parts of Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay, Argentina and Bolivia. SESA, located to the south of the Amazon basin, is one of the most densely populated regions in South America. Precipitation and its variability is very important over the region because it plays a key role in the generation of hydroelectric energy and in the economy, which is mainly based on harvesting and ranching (Berbery and Barros 2002). Moisture that could lead to future precipitations over the region can come from two different sources: (i) water vapor advection from others regions, and/or (ii) local recycling. While the advection of
water vapor depends on the atmospheric circulation and can have two different origins (continental or oceanic), the recycling is the process by which evapotranspiration from a particular continental region returns as precipitation to it shelf (Brubaker et al. 1993).

Previous moisture studies have focused mainly on the whole LPB and are described below for reference. One of the goals of this study is then to assess how much of the previous results found for the whole LPB apply to SESA. According to Martinez and Dominguez, 2014, approximately 63% of the mean precipitation over LPB comes from South America and the remaining 37% comes mostly from southern Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. Studies have also shown that the main continental moisture source of LPB is the Amazon Basin (e.g., Martinez and Dominguez 2014; Zemp et al. 2014; Drumond et al. 2014; Dirmeyer et al. 2009; Barros and Berbery 2002), contributing with 24% of the annual mean precipitation over LPB (Martinez and Dominguez, 2014). Using the concept of cascading moisture recycling, which represents the moisture transport between two locations on the continent that evolves one or more re-evaporation cycles along the way, Zemp et al. 2014 showed that the southern Amazon could act not only as the main direct continental moisture source of LPB, but also as an intermediate region that distributes moisture originating from the entire Amazon basin during the wet season (December to March). The transport of the southern Amazonian moisture toward LPB takes place throughout the year, being a quasi-permanent source with a maximum during the austral summer season (Berbery and Barros, 2002; Martinez and Dominguez 2014). The transport is carried out via the South American Low Level Jet (SALLJ) along the Andes (Marengo 2005; Martinez and Dominguez, 2014).

Another continental type of moisture source but without advection from other regions is the local recycling. For LPB, it represents the 23.5% of its total annual mean precipitation and becomes its maximum during the austral summer season due to the
enhancement of the large-scale convergence and net radiation, which increases the atmospheric instability, precipitation and evaporation (Martinez and Dominguez 2014).

The Atlantic and Pacific oceans are the main oceanic moisture sources of LPB and are seasonally dependent (Drumond et al. 2008; Martinez and Dominguez 2014). Drumond et al. 2008 used a Lagrangian particle dispersion model to compute the trajectories of the particles in the atmosphere backwards in time. They focused mainly on SESA and found that its main oceanic moisture sources are the southwestern South Atlantic, the tropical north Atlantic and the surrounding Atlantic Ocean located eastern to central Brazil. While the two latter remain as moisture sources throughout the year, the moisture from the tropical north Atlantic only reaches LPB during the austral summer season. This is associated with the development of a cross equatorial flow carrying moisture from the north Atlantic that penetrates into South America. Over the continent, the presence of the Andes forces the flow to become northerly, and is channeled southwards reaching LPB (Drumond et al. 2008; Martinez and Dominguez 2014; Viviane et al. 2012).

Regarding the Pacific Ocean, Martinez and Dominguez, 2014 showed that the subtropical and extratropical part of the south Pacific contributes to LPB precipitation with a 7.1% of the total annual mean precipitation, being its contribution more important during the austral winter.

It is well known that the atmospheric circulation is sensitive to the ocean surface conditions in the tropics. Anomalies in the sea surface temperature (SST) over the tropical oceans are able to induce changes in the meridional circulation and generate stationary Rossby waves that propagate toward extratropical latitudes, processes that induce variations in the regional circulation patterns associated to rainfall.
For the particular case of SESA, several previous studies have shown that the SST anomalies in the tropical Pacific, Atlantic and Indian oceans can influence precipitation variability through atmospheric teleconnections (e.g., Seager et al. 2010; Barreiro et al. 2014; Grimm et al. 2000; Silvestri 2004; Barreiro 2010; Diaz et al. 1998 and Chan et al. 2008) and they also the moisture transport (e.g., Silva et al. 2009; Vera 2004; Martinez and Dominguez 2014; Castillo 2014).

El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is one of the interannual variability phenomena that has been shown to influence the moisture transport from the Amazon Basin toward LPB through changes in the intensity of the SALLJ (Silva et al. 2009; Vera 2004; Martinez and Dominguez 2014). The physical mechanism through which the positive phase of El Niño induces an increase of the moisture of Amazonian origin in LPB involves a weakening of the Walker circulation that increases anomalous subsidence over Brazil, which subsequently enhances upward motion over Southeastern South America (Andreoli and Kayano 2005). This weakening in the local Hadley circulation between tropical and subtropical South America turns into a strengthens of the southward transport of moisture in lower levels from Brazil toward SESA, which is related to a larger number of SALLJ intensification events during the positive phase of ENSO (Silva et al. 2009).

Moreover, the warm phase of this equatorial Pacific phenomenon has been shown to increase the moisture from the southern Pacific in LPB (Martinez and Dominguez 2014). Martinez and Dominguez, (2014) suggest that this could be due to the anomalous upper-level circulation pattern during the Niño events, where stronger subtropical westerlies occur together with an anomalous cyclone located over the southern Pacific along with an anomalous anticyclone over the southern Atlantic (Andreoli and Kayano 2005; Vera et al. 2004; Ropelewski and Halpert 1987).
Finally, the tropical oceans can interact with each other inducing SST anomalies in remote basins through atmospheric and oceanic teleconnections (e.g., Alexander et al. 2002; Enfield and Mayer 1997; Saravannan and Chang 2000; Rodriguez-Fonseca et al. 2009). Recently Martín-Gómez and Barreiro (2015) used a methodology borrowed from complex networks to study how SST anomalies in the three tropical oceans can work together to induce springtime rainfall variability over SESA. Here we extend that study focusing on the summer season and find that there is a strong decadal variability in the impact of the oceans on SESA rainfall accompanied by large changes in moisture sources.

This study comprehends two parts: one first part in which following the methodology of Tsonis et al. (2007) and Martín-Gómez and Barreiro (2015), we construct a climate network in order to detect different synchronization periods among the tropical oceans and the precipitation over SESA, understanding synchronization as those periods over the last century in which several (or in the best case all) of the network’s nodes are significantly interacting among them. In the second part we select two periods with different degree of synchronization and, employing a Lagrangian particle dispersion model, we calculate and compare the trajectories of atmospheric moisture in both cases. This provides information about the spatial distribution of the moisture sources of SESA in the two selected periods and allows analyzing their changes.

2. Construction of the climate network and synchronization measure

A climate network is constructed considering as network’s nodes the following five different tropical oceanic indices (see Fig. 1 an Table 1): El Niño3.4, Tropical North Atlantic (TNA), Tropical South Atlantic (TSA), Equatorial Atlantic (ATL3) and Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD), as well as a precipitation index over SESA (PCP SESA). The
election of the indices takes into account all the tropical basins that are known to influence SESA precipitation during the austral summertime. The oceanic indices are defined considering the monthly mean SST from the Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature database (ERSSTv3b; Smith et al. 2008; and Xue et al. 2003) with a resolution of 2º x 2º. The precipitation index is defined using the monthly mean observed data from the GPCCv5 (Global Precipitation Climatology Center; Schneider et al. 2011) with a resolution of 1º x 1º. The period of study is 1901-2005.

We also consider the monthly mean values of the vertical integral of the horizontal divergence of the moisture flux from ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis data, of the winds at 850hPa and of the geopotential at 200hPa obtained from ECMWF data server (Dee et al. 2011). These fields are used to diagnose circulation anomalies and understand the changes in the moisture sources for SESA in different periods. The available data span the period 1979-to present, so to compute the anomaly values of all the products from ERA-Interim employed in this work (vertical integral of the horizontal divergence of the moisture flux, winds at 850hPa and geopotential at 200hPa), we consider the climatological mean from the common period (1979-2005).

The methodology followed to construct the network is described in detail in Martín-Gómez and Barreiro, 2015. Here we provide a summarized version. It consists in several steps:

1) The climate indices are defined by spatially averaging the SST or precipitation anomalies in the respective regions (Table 1) within individual trimesters: September – November (SON) for the case of El Niño3.4 index and December – February (DJF) for the other indices (TNA, TSA, ATL3, IOD and PCP). In this situation, each one of the time series values represents the seasonal mean of the
monthly anomaly values of the SST (tropical oceanic regions) or PCP (SESA region, only land areas). The anomaly values of the indices were computed as the deviation from the monthly climatological mean during the period (1901-2005). Therefore, our time series have 105 values, one per year. Each time series will be a node in a climate network.

The lag time of 3 months among El Niño3.4 and the rest of the nodes was established in order to allow them to respond to the atmospheric anomalies generated by the equatorial Pacific.

2) For each year, a climate network is constructed by computing the Spearman correlation coefficient $\rho'_{ij}$ among each pair $i,j$ of time series in the interval $[t - \frac{\Delta t}{2}, t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}]$. This correlation is a measure of the strength of the connection between the corresponding pair of nodes at the time $t$ in the middle of a sliding window of length $\Delta t=11$ years. The mean network distance is computed as a measure of synchronization among the nodes:

$$d(t) = \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i<j} \sqrt{2(1-|\rho'_{ij}|)}$$

where $N$ is the number of network’s nodes (in this case, 6) Note that the network is completely synchronized when the distance is zero and uncorrelated nodes give a value of $\sqrt{2}$ to the distance.

3) To compute the statistical significance of the mean network distance we employ the Montecarlo Method under the following criterion: we consider a red noise null model for those nodes with autocorrelation coefficient at lag 1 significant at 95% level in a one-tailed t-test. In the opposite case we consider white noise.
Following this criterion, only the TNA and TSA can be considered as red noise. Then, we generate 1000 surrogate time series of each index under these null hypotheses and compute the network distance time series considering a sliding window of 11-years length. In this way, we construct 1000 surrogate time series of the mean network distance, which allows determining the 5% significance level. We consider that there is a statistically significant synchronization event when the mean network distance is below this threshold more than 7 consecutive years.

3. Lagrangian Model and identification of moisture sources.

To get information about the spatial distribution of the moisture sources of SESA, we consider a Lagrangian particle dispersion model (FLEXPART, Stohl et al. 2005) driven by the 6 hours forecast from Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (NCEP-CFSR, Saha et al. 2010) with a resolution of 0.5° x 0.5° during the period 1979 to 2000. We consider the NCEP-CFSR data because this reanalysis is able to reproduce correctly the lower and upper-level atmospheric circulation patterns and precipitation distribution over South America during the austral summer season (Viviane et al. 2012; Quadro et al. 2013).

FLEXPART is a Lagrangian Particle dispersion model able to calculate and track the trajectories of the atmospheric moisture running forward and backward in time while dividing the atmosphere into a large number of particles (Stohl et al. 2005). Each particle represents a mass of air with a given mass (m) which is transported by the 3D wind field which includes modelled turbulence. In our work, the vertical distribution of the particles in the atmosphere is proportional to the air density and the moisture sources are computed through the net budget of evaporation minus precipitation.
obtained from the changes in the moisture along the particles trajectories. As in Stohl and James (2004, 2005) and in Drumond et al. (2008), the steps are:

1) We select the vertical atmospheric column located over SESA (see spatial domain on table 1), from where we release 50.000 particles per simulation with a vertical distribution proportional to the air density. We perform 5 simulations per month (December – January – February) releasing the particles the days: 12\textsuperscript{nd}, 16\textsuperscript{th}, 20\textsuperscript{th}, 24\textsuperscript{th} and 28\textsuperscript{th} of each month. All these particles are transported by FLEXPART backwards in time for 10 days and tracked recording their positions and specific humidity every 6 hours. We limit the transport of the particles to 10 days because it represents the average time that the water vapor resides in the atmosphere (Numagutti 1999). In turn, we establish a lag time between consecutive simulations of 4 days in order to assure that the obtained particle trajectories are different in consecutives simulations, since the life-time of the synoptic perturbation is around 5 days.

2) The net budget evaporation (e) minus precipitation (p) of each particle \(i\) with mass ‘\(m\)’ was computed through changes in the specific humidity (q) along its trajectory:

\[ (e - p)_i = \left( m \cdot \frac{dq}{d\tau} \right)_i, \quad (2) \]

The \((e - p)_i\) parameter was calculated for specific days. We called \((e - p)_{i,n}\) to the net budget evaporation minus precipitation of the particle \(i\) during the \(n\)-th day of trajectory. Remember that we release the particles the 10\textsuperscript{th} day of trajectory and run the model back in time, so for example \((e - p)_{i,1}\) will represent the net budget evaporation minus precipitation of the particle \(i\) during
the first day of trajectory, which is developed from day 10\textsuperscript{th} to the day 9\textsuperscript{th}. In
general terms, this can be mathematically expressed as:

\begin{equation}
\Delta \tau = \tau_{\text{indayi}} - \tau_{\text{ini}}
\end{equation}

where \(\Delta \tau = 1\text{ day}\).

3) We define a (1° x 1°) grid and per each day of trajectory, we add \((e - p)_{i,n}\) for all
the particles ‘i’ of the vertical column located over an area A, obtaining the net
budget \((E - P)_n\) for the whole vertical column of area A in each grid point and
during the n\textsuperscript{-th} day of trajectory:

\begin{equation}
(E - P)_n = \sum_{i\text{vertical column}}(e - p)_{i,n} \sigma Area_{column},
\end{equation}

where \(\sigma\) represents the density of the water. The expression (4) gives the net
budget as equivalent height of water per unit of time.

4) Finally, we take the average of the 10 net budgets \((E - P)_n\), and call it \((E - P)^{10}\). Per
each grid cell, the parameter \((E - P)^{10}\) will represent the net budget evaporation
minus precipitation in the whole vertical column located over an area A (the area
of the grid cell) averaged over the 10 days of trajectory of the particles going
toward SESA (see equation (5)). The positive (negative) values of \((E - P)^{10}\) will
represent the regions where particles when passing gain (loss) moisture in
average over the 10 days of trajectory toward SESA, and therefore, these regions
will represent sources (sinks) of moisture.

\begin{equation}
(E - P)^{10} = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{n=1}^{10} (E - P)_n, \quad (5)
\end{equation}
4. Climate network and synchronization periods

Figure 2 shows the network distance (solid black line) and the PCP index on DJF (dashed black line) during the last century. Regarding the mean network distance, the major features are:

1) The network distance is characterized by interannual and interdecadal variability.

2) During the last century there were three synchronization periods (distance smaller than the significance level): (1934-1946), (1965-1975) and (1992-2000), marked by white bands in Figure 2.

The existence of synchronization periods indicates that several of the nodes in the network (or in the best case all) are interacting among them. However, this does not assure that during these periods the oceans are influencing rainfall over SESA. To address this question we compute the Spearman correlation coefficient between the mean network distance and a precipitation index over SESA constructed taking averages of 11 years sliding windows from SESA PCP index. The resulting correlation coefficient, -0.25, is statistically significant at 5% significance level in one sided t-test (threshold level is 0.17), suggesting that an increase of the network distance (a decrease in the synchronization among the network’s nodes) is associated with less precipitation over SESA. The anti-correlation is evident in Figure 2. However, this result does not completely ensure the increment of SESA precipitation as a consequence of enhancing the degree of synchronization of the network. To further address this issue we define the relative precipitation weight (RPW), a parameter that informs about the importance of the PCP as a network’s node, understanding “importance” as the degree of interaction of the precipitation index with the rest of the nodes. The definition of RPW(t) is:
where \( d_{pcp} \) is proportional to the mean network distance from PCP to the tropical oceanic indices in equation (1). That is to say:

\[
RPW(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2} - d_{pcp}(t)}{3 \sqrt{2} - d(t)} \quad (6)
\]

\[
d_{pcp}(t) = \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i \neq PCP} \sqrt{2 \left(1 - \left| \rho_{i,PCP}' \right| \right)} \quad (7)
\]

where \( N=6 \) (the number of network’s nodes) and \( \rho_{i,PCP}' \) is the Spearman correlation coefficient between PCP and the oceanic index \( i \). The maximum and minimum values of the RPW are one and zero, in such a way that higher values of the RPW are associated with a larger influence of the tropical oceans on rainfall and vice versa. RPW=1 takes place when \( d_{pcp}=0 \) (correlation coefficient between each one of the oceanic indices and PCP index are 1 or -1) and the tropical oceans are completely disconnected among them. RPW=0 means that SESA precipitation is completely disconnected from the oceanic indices. See more details in Martín-Gómez and Barreiro, 2015.

The Spearman correlation coefficient between the RPW and the mean network distance, -0.22, is statistically significant at 5% significance level in a one sided t-test, suggesting that a larger connectivity of the precipitation index is associated with a smaller network distance (larger synchronization of the network). On the other hand, we also computed the correlation coefficient between the RPW and the precipitation, obtaining the value 0.24, also statistically significant at 5% significance level in a one sided t-test. These results suggest that an increment of the precipitation in SESA is related to a larger
influence of the tropical oceans on SESA, which in turn, is associated with more degree
of synchronization.

So, one could conclude that more synchronization of the network is associated with an
increase of the precipitation over SESA. Nevertheless, we note that there are periods in
which precipitation is above normal but the network does not show significant
synchronization, e.g. during the decades of 1910s and 1980s.

5. Moisture sources of SESA during the ‘80s and ‘90s.

Given the availability of ERA Interim and NCEP-CFSR data, we focus our discussion
on the differences between the ‘80s (1979-1991) and ‘90s (1992-2000), a period of non-
significant synchronization and another of statistically significant synchronization
among network’s components, respectively. Note that reducing the period to 1979-2000,
the ‘80s have rainfall below the mean, while the ‘90s have rainfall above the mean in
SESA.

We first analyze SST and circulation anomalies in the two periods. The Spearman
correlation map between the SESA precipitation index and the SST anomalies for the
two periods, ‘80s and ‘90s, are shown in Figure 3. The shaded regions are statistically
significant at 5% significance level in a MonteCarlo test based on the generation of 100
surrogate time series. The first distinctive feature between these two decades is that
while in the ‘90s the equatorial Pacific dominates, during the ‘80s the equatorial
Atlantic shows stronger correlation. The vertical integral of moisture flux divergence is
consistent with increased rainfall over SESA during the 90s and decreased during the
80s (Figures 4(a) and (d)).
Figures 4 (b) and (e) show the anomalous eddy geopotential at 200mb during ‘80s and ‘90s, respectively. The ‘80s are characterized by an anomalous anticyclone located southeast of South America over the Atlantic Ocean and an anomalous cyclone over southern South America (Figure 4(b)). This situation does not favor the convergence of moisture over SESA and inhibits vertical ascent motions. However, during the ‘90s the subtropical dipole of cyclonic-anticyclonic circulation anomalies in subtropical South America favors the advection of cyclonic vorticity and ascent motion over SESA, and therefore, the increase of the precipitation. The low level wind anomalies are consistent with this picture, showing mainly divergence (convergence) over SESA during the 80s (90s) (see Figures 4(c) and (f)).

Figures 5 (a) and (b) represent the 10 days average of the net budget evaporation minus precipitation ((E-P)$^{10}$) over the periods (1979-1991) and (1992-2000), respectively. Regions with positive (negative) values of this variable are associated with a net profit (loss) of moisture of the particles when passing by along their trajectories toward SESA, and therefore, these regions will represent the main moisture sources (sinks) of SESA. From Figures 5 (a) and (b) we can see that the main moisture source regions (with positive values of the (E-P)$^{10}$) are: the recycling over SESA, the central-eastern shore of Brazil together with its surrounding Atlantic ocean, and the South Atlantic Ocean surrounding SESA shore. Results are almost in agreement with Figure 1 (d) from Drummond et al., 2008. The main difference arises over the central Brazil/Amazon basin, a region that in the previously mentioned study is characterized by positive values of the (E-P)$^{10}$ budget while in our case takes negative values. The difference could be associated with the reanalysis data employed to drive the FLEXPART model: while we consider the NCEP-CFSR reanalysis, Drumond et al. (2008) employed a reanalysis from ECMWF. Other factors that can introduce differences are that the
selected domain for SESA is not exactly the same and that we consider Dec-Jan-Feb, while Drumond et al., 2008 consider Jan-Feb-Mar season.

Figure 5 (c) shows the difference in \((E-P)^{10}\) during the 80s and 90s that are significant at 10% level. It suggests that during the 80s the central-eastern shore of Brazil acted as a stronger moisture source, while during the 90s the intensity of the recycling was larger. Moreover, during the 90s the region at 60W between 20-25S acted as a moisture source, not clearly present during the 80s. The stronger intensity of the recycling over SESA during the 90s would be in agreement with the positive PCP anomalies observed on Figure 2 and the anomalous vertical integral of the moisture convergence shown in Figure 4(d).

To interpret the changes in the moisture sources we compute the Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs) for the net budget \((E-P)^{10}\). Figure 6 (a) shows the first EOF pattern that explains the 16.5% of the \((E-P)^{10}\) variance. Its associated principal component (PC) is plotted in Figure 6(b). The EOF1 pattern shows a dipole-like structure with two centers of action, one located over the central-eastern and southeast Brazil, and another one with opposite sign in the subtropical region located to the east of the Andes (20-35)ºS, (295-305)ºE. The associated PC1 shows a clear jump between both decades of study, ‘80s and ’90s (see Figure 6(b)). Positive (negative) values of the PC1 tend to prevail before 1991 (after 1991), suggesting that the center located over the central-eastern and southeast Brazil would take positive (negative) values, and therefore, the particles that pass through that region along their trajectory toward SESA will load more (less) moisture. This center of action is associated with the statistically significant positive signal observed on Figure 5(c) over the central-eastern and southeast Brazil. The other center of action of the EOF1 pattern has the opposite sign and could be related to the two statistically significant negative signals observed in Figure 5(c) over
the subtropical region located to the east of the Andes. Comparing Figures 4(c) and (f) suggests that during the 80s the northerly anomalies along the coast of central-east and south Brazil associated with a cyclonic circulation at (15S, 50W) help the transport of moisture toward SESA. During the 90s the situation is the opposite: a low-level anti-cyclonic circulation developed over central-east Brazil that does not favor the advection of moisture from the central-east shore of Brazil toward SESA, decreasing the contribution of this region as a moisture source. Instead, it favours the transport of moisture from the Amazon basin and can explain the extension of the region acting as moisture source toward the north of SESA in that decade. Note that the development of this low-level cyclonic (anti-cyclonic) anomaly circulation over the central-east Brazil during the ‘80s (‘90s) is, in turn, consistent with the observed convergence anomaly of the vertical integral of moisture flux over the region shown in Figure 4(a) (Figure 4(d)). Thus, during the 90s there is an increase in cyclonic vorticity advection in upper levels and a strong contribution of moisture from the Amazon at lower level, resulting in a larger precipitation in SESA with respect to the 80s.

6. Conclusions.

The atmosphere is sensitive to the ocean surface conditions in the tropics in such a way that SST anomalies over the tropical oceans are able to generate quasi-stationary Rossby waves that propagate from the tropics toward extratropical latitudes inducing regional circulation anomalies that can not only induce rainfall variability, but also changes in the sources of moisture. The work reported here has two complementary parts: in the first part we construct a climate network to detect synchronization periods among the tropical oceans and the precipitation over SESA during the austral summer season. Afterwards, taking into account these results, we select two periods with different
degree of synchronization to compare the spatial distribution of the moisture sources. To do so we employ a Lagrangian particle dispersion model, that allows the calculation and tracking of the trajectories of atmospheric moisture.

Results show that during the last century the network distance was characterized by interannual and interdecadal variability having three synchronization periods among the tropical oceans and the precipitation over SESA, which developed during the ‘30s, ‘70s and ‘90s decades. The relationship between the mean network distance and the precipitation over SESA is such that a larger degree of synchronization among the network’s component (smaller mean network distance) is associated with an increase of the oceanic influence on SESA precipitation.

We then focus on the differences between the ‘80s (1979-1991) and the ‘90s (1992-2000), one period of non-synchronization and another of statistically significant synchronization among the tropical oceans and SESA precipitation. The comparison yielded the following conclusions:

1) When the synchronization of the network is statistically significant (‘90s) there is convergence of moisture and favoring conditions for ascent motions over SESA, allowing an increase of the SESA precipitation. The opposite conditions can be observed in the period of non-synchronization (‘80s) resulting in reduced rainfall.

2) The main moisture sources of SESA are the recycling over the region, the central-eastern shore of Brazil together with its surrounding Atlantic
Ocean, and the southwestern south Atlantic surrounding the SESA domain.

3) The main differences between the two selected decades are in the intensity of the recycling, in the intensity of the central-eastern shore of Brazil and in a region centered at (20°S, 30°E). The latter is a moisture source for SESA only during the ‘90s and is associated with the development of a low-level anti-cyclonic anomaly circulation over central-east Brazil which favors the transport of moisture from that region toward SESA. On the other hand, during the ‘80s a low-level cyclonic anomaly circulation developed over central-east Brazil favors a stronger advection of moisture from the central-eastern shore of Brazil.
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Figure 4. Anomaly vertical integral divergence moisture flux (VIDMF) in kg·m²s⁻² for: (a) (1979-1991) and (d) (1992-2000). Anomaly eddy geopotential (geopot) at 200mb in m²s⁻² for: (b) (1979-1991) and (e) (1992-2000). Anomaly winds at 850mb in m/s for (c) (1979-1991) and (f) (1992-2000). To compute (b) and (e) maps, we first remove the trend from 1979 to 2005 and the zonal average of the geopotential at 200mb. After that we remove the climatology of the period (1979-2005) and apply the low-pass the Lanczos filter to the time series. From this anomalies values we finally select DJF season and make an average over the periods: (1979-1991) and (1992-2000). To compute the (a), (d), (c) and (f) maps we remove the trend and the climatology mean from 1979 to 2005 and apply the Lanczos filter to the time series. Then we compute the DJF average for each period to obtain the anomalies. The marked region over South America represents the domain where the PCP over SESA index was defined.

Figure 5. (a) 10 days average of the net budget evaporation minus precipitation \((E-P)^{10}\) during the 80s (1979-1991) in DJF, (b) the same in the 90s (1992-2000). (c) Difference between the 80s and 90s. Only locations with difference significant at 90% confidence level considering a Monte Carlo approach are colored. Units: mm/day

Figure 6. (a) First EOF of \((E-P)^{10}\) and (b) its associated PC1. Period (1979-2000).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index short name</th>
<th>Index long name</th>
<th>Earth’s regions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NINO3.4</td>
<td>El Niño3.4</td>
<td>5°N-5°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>170°W-120°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNA</td>
<td>Tropical North Atlantic</td>
<td>10°N-30°N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60°W-30°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSA</td>
<td>Tropical South Atlantic</td>
<td>5°S-25°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>330°E-358°E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL3</td>
<td>Equatorial Atlantic</td>
<td>3°N-3°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0°W-20°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOD</td>
<td>Indian Ocean Dipole</td>
<td>10°S-10°N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50°E-70°E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10°S-0°N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90°E-110°E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>Precipitation Southeastern South America (SESA), (only land areas are considered)</td>
<td>25°S-40°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60°W-50°W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3

(a) Corr map PCP-SSTα(DJF) (1979-1991)

(b) Corr map PCP-SSTα(DJF) (1992-2000)
Figure 6

(a) EOF1 (E-P)$^{10}$ DJF (1979-2000) var-expl: 16.5

(b) PC1 (1979-2000)